

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,534	09/16/2003	Kaoru Iwato	Q77005 9429		
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 03/22/2007 ON. PLLC	EXAMINER			
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			GILLIAM, BARBARA LEE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, <u>-</u>	1752			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	03/22/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	'	Applicant(s)			
		10/662,534	1	WATO ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Barbara L. Gilliam		1752			
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sh	neet with the co	rrespondence ac	idress		
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, F - Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later th earned patent term adjustment. See 3'	ROM THE MAILING DA der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. In the maximum statutory period we and period for reply will, by statute, and three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMINGO. In no event, however, will apply and will expire SIX cause the application to become the application to be application	MUNICATION. , may a reply be timel (6) MONTHS from the come ABANDONED	y filed e mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status							
1) Responsive to commur	ication(s) filed on 08 De	ecember 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is	<u></u>						
closed in accordance w	ith the practice under <i>E</i>	x parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453	O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		·					
4)	s) <u>2-5,7-10 and 20</u> is/ard llowed. <u>16-19</u> is/are rejected. bjected to.	e withdrawn from co	·				
Application Papers	•						
9) The specification is obje 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request Replacement drawing she 11) The oath or declaration	is/are: a) acce that any objection to the c et(s) including the correcti	epted or b) objector frawing(s) be held in a on is required if the dr	abeyance. See 3 awing(s) is object	7 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37 CF	` '		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/11/2005	wing Review (PTO-948)	Pape 5) Noti	rview Summary (P er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Pate er:	·			

Application/Control Number: 10/662,534

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The IDS filed March 11, 2005 has been entered and fully considered. An initialed and signed copy is attached herewith.

Response to Amendment

- 2. The amendment filed December 8, 2006 has been entered and fully considered.
- 3. The rejection of claims 16 and 17 under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph is withdrawn in light of the amendment.
- 4. The rejection under 35 USC 102(b) over NAKAMURA et al., EP 945 264 A1 is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 11, 13-14, 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over NAKAMURA et al., EP 945 264 A1.
- a. NAKAMURA et al. teach a positive-type photosensitive composition comprising an anionic, infrared-ray absorbing agent and a polymeric compound that is

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/662,534

Art Unit: 1752

insoluble in water but soluble in an aqueous alkaline solution (abstract). Specific examples of the anionic dye include

λ max=811nm(NeOH) =1.7x10 1/mol·cm

Page 3

 $\lambda \max = 755 \text{nm} (\text{MeOH}) = 1.5 \times 10^5 \text{ l/mol} \cdot \text{cm}$

NAKAMURA et al. teach with sufficient specificity that that the composition may further contain a pigment or dye capable of absorbing infrared rays. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add a pigment or dye capable of absorbing infrared rays in order to improve the image-forming performance ([0086]). NAKAMURA et al. also specifically teach the following anionic, infrared absorbing dyes

which meet the structural requirements of R^A - SO_3^- and Ar^B - SO_3^- of general formulae (2-A) and (2-B) respectively but do not comprise the quarternary ammonium counter ion of M_1^+ (page 47-48).* However, based on the overall teachings of the patent, it would

Art Unit: 1752

have been obvious to of ordinary skill in the art to use an onium salt counter ion, such as a quarternary ammonium cation so as to exemplify the positive image formation ([0060]). *It is the Examiner's position the cited compounds of NAKAMURA et al. contain protons that are readily dissociated and the corresponding compounds comprising SO₃⁻ are in equilibrium with the compounds containing SO₃H. Also the negative charge is able to be delocalized which results in a more stable compound.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, filed December 8, 2006 have been fully considered and are persuasive in part. The rejection under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph is withdrawn and the rejection under 35 USC 102(b) over NAKAMURA et al., EP 945 264 A1 is withdrawn. However the rejection under 35 USC 103(a) over NAKAMURA et al., EP 945 264 A1 is maintained. Again, it is the Examiner's position that is would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use an onium salt counter ion, such as a quaternary ammonium cation taught by NAKAMURA et al. Applicant argued the cited compounds of NAKAMURA et al. (IR-24, IR-25 and IR-26) do not meet the structural requirements of the present compounds because said present compounds require SO₃. It is the Examiner's position the cited compounds of NAKAMURA et al. contain protons that are readily dissociated and the corresponding compounds comprising SO₃ are in equilibrium with the compounds containing SO₃H. Also the negative charge is able to be delocalized which results in a more stable compound. Applicant argued unexpected result however more explanation of the data is necessary to fully evaluate the results

Art Unit: 1752

including an explanation of how the inventive examples are commensurate in scope with the pending claims.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara L. Gilliam whose telephone number is 571-272-1330. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8:00 AM 5:30 PM.
- a. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H. Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,534

Art Unit: 1752

b. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Barbara L. Gilliam Primary Examiner Page 7

Art Unit 1752

bg March 19, 2007